3967 COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

IN LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Tuesday, June 8, 1982 Rockville, Maryland

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, convened in Legislative Session in the Council Hearing Room, County Office Building, Rockville, Maryland, at 10:10 A.M. on Tuesday, June 8, 1982.

PRESENT

Neal Potter, President

Michael L. Gudis, Vice President

Rose Crenca

Ruth Spector

David Scull

Scott Fosler.

Esther P. Gelman

The President in the Chair.

No journal was presented for approval.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS:

Re: Emergency Bill No. 25-82, Providing an Alternative Method of Assuring Completion of Subdivision Streets & Roads - Introduced

The Council had before it for introduction Bill No. 25-82, providing for an alternative method of assuring completion of subdivision streets and roads,

President Potter indicated that he has some editorial changes to the bill to make it more understandable and readible. He said he would prefer to delay introducing the bill until this afternoon and have a properly edited bill before the Council when the session is continued at 4:30 P.M.

Legislative Counsel Frankel added that there are a number of areas in the bill that need clarification and suggested that it be referred to committee before the public hearing or that introduction be deferred for a week to work out the language to some substantive questions to a technical bill.

Councilwoman Spector suggested that the scope of the advertising of the bill should be broad enough to encompass any needed amendments.

Mr. Merryman of the Executive Branch said he was not familiar with the Council's procedures, but that he could provide technical advice with respect to the language of the bill.

see an economics needs test applied. She is happy that the handicapped were added under the provision of this bill. She cautioned that 'minority' should never be interpreted to mean "inferior."

Councilwoman Spector asked about the County's position with respect to challenges from the majority and the voluntary nature of the bill.

Mr. Scull responded that the concept of "minority" is within the bill. The definition is the same as is contained in State legislation. The law provides that no law suit can be filed based on this law. Oversight is by the Council looking at the CAO's annual report to see whether he has done an adequate job. The experience at the State level has been positive and successful beyond expectation. He has not heard of any complaints from majority contractors.

Upon motion of Councilman Scull, duly seconded and without objection, the Council voted to waive the reading of the title and proceed to enactment:

Councilmembers Spector, Crenca, Scull, Gelman, Fosler, Gudis and Potter voting in the affirmative, Bill 54-81, an amendment to the contract procurement law to increase the amount of contracts which do not require competitive bidding, was enacted, as amended.

(The Legislative Session was recessed until 5:00 P.M.)

Re: Bill 24-82, Technical Amendments to Police Collective Bargaining

 $$\operatorname{Bill}$\ 24-82, technical amendments to the Police Collective Bargaining law, was called for final reading.$

Upon motion of Councilman Scull, duly seconded and without objection, the Council voted to waive the reading of the title and proceed to enactment.

Councilmembers Spector, Crenca, Scull, Gelman, Fosler, Gudis and Potter voting in the affirmative, Bill 24-82, technical amendment to the Police Collective Bargaining law, was enacted.

Re: Bill 54-81, Contract Procurement Law - Reconsidered, Amended and Reenacted

Councilman Gudis moved reconsideration of Bill 54-81, amendment to contract procurement law, enacted earlier today. His motion was seconded & passed.

Upon motion of Councilman Gudis, duly seconded and without objection, Bill No. 54-81 was amended on lines 25 and 26, on page 4, to substitute invitation for bids for "request for proposals" on both lines.

Upon motion of Councilwoman Crenca, duly seconded and without objection, the Council voted to waive the reading of the title and proceed to reenactment of the bill.

Councilmembers Spector, Crenca, Scull, Gelman, Gudis and Potter voting in the affirmative, and Councilman Fosler being temporarily absent, Bill 54-81, an amendment to the contract procurement law to increase the amount of contracts which do not require competitive bidding, was reenacted, as amended further.

There being no further official business to come before the County Council in Legislative Session, the meeting adjourned at 5:10 P.M. to reconvene at 1:30 P.M. on Tuesday, June 15, 1982, or at the call of the President.

ATTEST:

Anna P. Spates, Secretary of the County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland